

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_89407
Title of the Manuscript:	AYURVEDIC SHODHAN TREATMENT APPROACH FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF KSHEENSHUKRA (OLIGOZOOSPERMIA) - A REVIEW ARTICLE
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article is interesting but needs some major changes: 1) it is necessary to add bibliographic references. Reviews generally have a large bibliography. This allows to give strength and scientific or technical value to what the review reports. In the text, there are many statements without a bibliography. For example: page 3 - "This is supported by findings that very low caloric or protein deficiency causes hypogonadism and decreases the function of Leydig cell, which may result in hampered testosterone secretion and further leads to infertility" (missing bibliographic reference). 2) correct the formatting of the bibliography. The bibliography must be reported according to the general rules of the bibliography and referring to the rules of the journal (see "Reference style" here https://journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/about/submissions)	
Minor REVISION comments	1) the list in the paragraph "SAMPRAPTI GHATAKA" : I suggest to transform it into a table.	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luigi Cristiano
Department, University & Country	Italy