Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_89381**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Analyze and compare the pain level and complications of septoplasty with and without splints and packing.**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	01 "This study is planned for comparing the results" should be "The aim of the present study was to compare the results". 02 There is no ethical committee approval for this study, which is of concern. To only get an informed consent from the patients is not enough. 03 No power analysis was performed. Therefore, it is not possible to know whether the analysis of the results of the present study is a true finding or a pure chance. This may compromise the entire validity of this study. 04 "Pain scores were higher in patients with splints and packing both in post-operative period and at the time of removal of splints and packing. There was no significant difference regarding other post operative complications." Impossible to state that if the authors did not perform any statistical test to analyze the data. 05 Most of the Discussion section consists of paragraphs beginning with a repetition of the results followed by the citation of the results of other studies, without an actual discussion of the findings of the study. In other words, a discussion of the findings is inexistent. 06 "In view of this, we would no longer recommend the use of nasal splints and packing in every nasal septal procedure with mild to moderate defects. We recommend the use of nasal toilet following septal surgery both before discharge from the ward and at out-patient department. In selected nasal packing and splint cases these may still be helpful in extensive septal surgery, partial inferior turbinectomy and framework procedures." This is not a conclusion of your study. This is a recommendation/opinion of the authors, and should stay in the Discussion section.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Bruno Chrcanovic**
Department, University & Country	**Malmö University, Sweden**