

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_89145
Title of the Manuscript:	A Novel Synthesis of 1,2,3triazole substituted pyrimidine, pyrazole by using 1,2,3triazolchalcone
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article has serious limitations.	
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract: The article abstract shows that this is a review, however this is not a review article. The type of study as well as its objectives must be clear in the abstract of the article. Introduction: The closing of the introduction can be improved, justifying the importance of the study, as well as clarifying, in a clearer and more detailed way, the objective of the study. Materials and Methods: Figures and Tables must have a title; Figures and Tables must be cited in the text and included as per the citation; I suggest presenting the Tables in the results section; General information regarding the type of study is lacking, as well as information regarding the conduct of the processes that facilitate reading and understanding. Results and Discussion: The discussion and results session need to be re-elaborated and properly organized. Important data are missing in this session, such as the meetings of the current study, comparison studies, as well as limitations, positive points and final conclusions of the present study.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aline Porciúncula Frenzel
Department, University & Country	Catholic University of Pelotas, Brazil