

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_88731
Title of the Manuscript:	MOLECULAR TYPING OF DERMATOPHYTES ISOLATED FROM PUPILS AND STAFF MEMBERS OF SOME SELECTED SCHOOLS IN ANAMBRA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Well written and interesting work, addressing the molecular typing of fungi that cause dermatophytosis in a school population. It presents an expressive and well represented casuistry. The laboratory methods used are adequate to the proposal and objective of the research, as well as the results obtained and their discussion	
Minor REVISION comments	It would be convenient to include bibliographic references in the isolation and identification items of agents, as well as in DNA extraction, PCR and sequencing protocol, because despite the description of the methods, the origin of these methods does not exist in the text. It is necessary to correct the item "Bibliographic references", since its description is not uniform, missing data in some of them.	
Optional/General comments	Interesting research, with publication value, however it must meet the requests of the previous item	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Walter Belda Junior
Department, University & Country	University of Sao Paulo, Brazil