

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_88357
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative evaluation of dexmedetomidine, ketamine & their combination for epidural analgesia in orthopedic surgeries of the lower limb
Type of the Article	Study Protocol and pre-protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors presented an interesting study comparing different pharmaceutical products used for epidural analgesia in orthopedic surgeries of the lower limb. Unfortunately, the writing of this manuscript does not respect any scientific norm. The authors have copied and paste their dissertation and/or memoir. The authors are required to go to the link of our journal and follow the General Guideline for Author and Word paper template . They are obliged to rewrite the manuscript according to the guidelines. Available on the link of the journal: https://journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/manuscript-submission http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/general-guideline-for-authors https://journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI	
Minor REVISION comments	NONE	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript was poorly written. If possible, after correction by authors, kindly resend me the manuscript to see the improvements of the authors, and if additional correction will be required.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jean Marie Vianney Hope
Department, University & Country	University of Rwanda, Rwanda