

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_88357
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative evaluation of dexmedetomidine, ketamine & their combination for epidural analgesia in orthopedic surgeries of the lower limb
Type of the Article	Study Protocol and pre-protocol

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>GENERAL COMMENTS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reviewing the English of the text with a native speaker – pay attention to spaces between words</li> <li>• Check typing errors</li> <li>• Full form of each abbreviation should be given in parentheses at first use in the text; then use the abbreviation (e.g. DVT, NIBP, ASA, ECG, SpO2, CSF, L3, L4, VAS, TIO)</li> <li>• Drug names should be given in lowercase not capital letters (e.g. dexmedetomidine and not Dexmedetomidine)</li> <li>• <i>Study Protocol and pre-protocol</i> should follow the structure of Abstract, Introduction, Methodology, Expected results, Discussion, Abbreviations, Acknowledgements, Competing Interests, Authors' Contributions, Consent (where applicable), Ethical approval (where applicable), and References plus figures and/or tables</li> </ul> <p><b>ABSTRACT</b>  <b>From the General Guideline for Authors</b>  <i>Abstract</i>  <i>The abstract should be concise and informative. It should not exceed 300 words in length. It should briefly describe the purpose of the work, techniques and methods used, major findings with important data and conclusions. Different sub-sections, as given below, should be used. <b>No references should be cited in this part.</b> Generally non-standard abbreviations should not be used, if necessary, they should be clearly defined in the abstract, at first use.</i></p> <p><b>INTRODUCTION</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please rewrite the introduction deepening the background and inserting only once the purpose of the study, and references</li> </ul> <p><b>METHODOLOGY</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In this chapter, insert the contents starting with "design of the study" up to "sample size" by arranging the sequence and titles of the different sub-chapters – be careful not to repeat contents</li> <li>• Implement the insufficient statistics section</li> <li>• Remove references at the end of the description of the different treatment groups (e.g. group Dx)</li> <li>• Change "cc" to ml and "in site" to italic</li> <li>• Leave a space between number and unit of measurement (e.g. 10ml/kg in 10 ml/kg)</li> <li>• Modify "INTRAOP EVALUTAION" in intra-operative evaluations and "Postperative" in post-operative evaluations</li> </ul> <p><b>DISCUSSION</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Regarding the proposed citations: 1) insert one author and reference only 2) insert a sentence introducing why the papers have been cited</li> </ul> <p><b>CONCLUSION</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Remove</li> </ul> <p><b>REFERENCES</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Insert references in the text in the correct sequence</li> <li>• Please follow the <i>General Guideline for Authors (e.g.)</i>            Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98.            Note: List the first six authors followed by et al.            Note: Use of DOI number for the full-text article is encouraged. (if available)</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Irene Scagnol</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Italy</b>