

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_88150
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimicrobial activity and in silico ADME prediction of synthesised 8-hydroxyquinoline azo compounds against some ESKAPE human pathogens and Mycobacterium smegmatis.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The selected topic is remarkable in terms of dealing with antimicrobial resistance, by preparing the effective antibiotics which show favourable ADMET prediction and antibacterial efficacy.</p> <p>Authors hold a good level of competencies and knowledge of antimicrobials and it is their hard work of many months.</p> <p>The reference list has shown to have all the required references. However, there is a great deal of discrepancies in terms of their best fit / compatibility with the written text, in most parts of the manuscript. I must admit that I might have left some areas due to lack of references. Therefore, I advise authors to revise the manuscript again for further improvements. Please work on the following recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Thorough checking of the all the references throughout the manuscript.2. In some places, the description of the methods would certainly require relevant reference.	
Minor REVISION comments	I have also provided the minor recommendations in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anju Kaushal
Department, University & Country	New Zealand