

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_88107
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY ON AROMATIC HETEROCYCLIC ORGANIC COMPOUNDS
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The whole text requires intensive proofreading.2. The whole text is poorly written, has a lot of monotonous sentences, a lack of story flow, and the points in each section are too general.3. In the abstract, the author should elaborate on the significance of this review; there has no sense of why it needs to carry out this review.4. Introduction: there has no in-text citation to support the facts discussed.5. Other sections: those contents are general elaborations that can be caught up from the web and lack details as written in the research aims.6. Overall, there has not much value that can be contributed from this review study.	
Minor REVISION comments	A major revision is required, and the content needs to be more concise and comprehensive.	
Optional/General comments	The whole text requires intensive proofreading before it can be read. The manuscript is weak, author should pinpoint the speculations and the research gaps in this topic, but not merely on summarizing the general theory.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Loh Yean Chun
Department, University & Country	Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia