

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_87867
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and in silico characterization of defensin genes from some indigenous plant species of Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The information given into the manuscript is good and informative scientifically. However there are some suggestions/corrections to do in order to make the manuscript more attractive and informative.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ <b>Results</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The Results section should contain only results, no discussion;</li> <li>➤ Make sure your graphs and tables can speak for themselves;</li> <li>➤ You should organize this section with subheading and do not repeat in words everything that your tables and graphs convey. You can, however, point out key findings and offer some text that complements the findings;</li> <li>➤ Do not forget to number your figures and tables. You should indicate them into the brief interpretation related to them (two sentences in maximum).</li> </ul> </li> <li>❖ <b>Discussion</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Make sure that your discussion is concise and informative.</li> <li>➤ The order of figures should follow the discussion themes and not the sequence they were conducted;</li> <li>➤ Your discussion section should answer why you obtained the observed results and also why your results are important. Do not simply restate the results. Highlight how your research contributes to the current knowledge in the field and mention the next steps or what remains. Feel free to explain why your results falsify current theories if that is the case;</li> <li>➤ Discuss how your data compare with previous results and do not forget to indicate their references with number into the text;</li> <li>➤ If possible add the limitations of your study in the last paragraph with a subheading.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Ndoe Guiaro Marcellin
Department, University & Country	Institute of Medical Research and Medicinal Plants Studies, Cameroon

Review Form 1.6