

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_87784
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Kangaroo Mother Care among low birth weight newborns at a tertiary care hospital: A cross-sectional study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I believe this manuscript evaluates the efficacy of KMC but this needs to be stated clearly and described well in the methods.</p> <p>I am not sure about the purpose of this study as we clearly know that KMC helps with preventing hypothermia but not 100% fool proof. For those with hypothermia did we exclude other causes like hypoglycemia, sepsis, dehydration?</p> <p>WHO definition is core temperature of <36.5 which is measured rectally but in this study axillary temp was done? Why</p> <p>KMC is initiated right after birth and in this study the mean age was 12 days? Please explain.</p> <p>Where was this study conducted meaning at home or at hospital? How they were monitored – by moms or nurse? How often where they checked? What corrective actions were taken? What time of the year this was done? If at home what is the maternal education? Did anyone verify their technique? What thermometer was used.</p> <p>Again I am not sure what this study is supposed to evaluate as the aim statement is unclear and conclusion talks about different things which are beyond the scope of this study data.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aravind Thavamani
Department, University & Country	Case Western Reserve University/UH Rainbow Babies and Children's Hospital, United States of America