

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_87780
Title of the Manuscript:	Frequency of cardiomyopathy in children having Thalassemia major: A cross-sectional study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -For the first time in text, full form should be used after that abbreviation can be used (e.g., Full name of Hospital needs to be mentioned before using abbreviation) - Rationale and objective of study needs to be separately stated -Please specify whether you take only Thalassemia Major patients if so, then please explain the criteria - Needs to explain types of cardiomyopathies - Very simplified tables, need to add some more information if noted in this study. <p>If Echo is the diagnostic criteria, then you can add Ejection Fraction etc.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In table 1: if you want to report 2 decimal places then be consistent in all the findings - Statistical tests should be explained in detail -As, it is convenient sampling, so it does not represent the general population so What's is the advantage of publishing this frequency of Cardiomyopathy - Please explain the logic behind inclusion table 4 -Limitations need to be elaborated in a bit detail 	
Minor REVISION comments	Few grammatical and syntax errors need to be corrected	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mehnaz Munir
Department, University & Country	McMaster University, Canada