

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_87711
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Weight to Height Ratio is a Better Predictor of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in the South Asian Population</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>A. Problems with study subject recruitment</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Why patients visiting the hepatogastroenterology opds were recruited for the study? What were the primary diagnosis and complaints for which these patients visited a speciality opd service. Prevalence of NAFLD in this diseased group cannot be representative of the whole population. It would have been better if subjects were taken from general population.</li> <li>2. Exclusion criteria need to be more elaborate.</li> </ol> <p>B. Table 2 showing regression analysis is missing. No comparison of parameters in NAFLD and Non NAFLD groups done. Even no data provided about comparison of male and female subjects although it has been mentioned in the text</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Some points mentioned during your internal review have been incorporated in final manuscript, eg As we are using ultrasound for fatty liver we should use some justification .. some study related to compare it with liver biopsy</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>As such, the study seems to have designed to mainly evaluate Fatty liver index, but just because of results, as an after thought, title of manuscript been shifted to WHR</p>	

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ruby Sharma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maharishi Markandeshwar Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, India</b>