

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_87351
Title of the Manuscript:	Inflammation and Oxidative Stress from E-cigarette Exposure: Implications for COPD and Asthma
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript is a review who compiles data on the cellular effects of e-cigarette use with population data on disease incidence to determine potential risk for COPD and asthma development, two of the most prevalent respiratory diseases.</p> <p>Title: I recommend insert the review – word in the final title.</p> <p>Not all of the text is included in the list of abbreviations.</p> <p>At table 1 and 2, the legend should be mentioned.</p> <p>The author mentions in the manuscript that there are significant correlations between various markers and other symptoms / element, but does not present the use of a statistical test. Only statistical analyzes can conclude whether there are statistical differences or not. What software and statistical calculation methods was used? must be mentioned.</p> <p>Ex: All reviewed studies found significant increases in inflammatory biomarkers, as well as pro-inflammatory cytokines,</p> <p>If such calculations were not used, do not use the words of comparison - significant differences, not significant ... the value of the index -p -...</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ștefan Ciornei
Department, University & Country	University of Live Science, Romania