

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_87058
Title of the Manuscript:	CORRELATION OF SERUM THIAMINE WITH PHYSICAL AND BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS AMONG TYPE 1 AND TYPE 2 DIABETICS: A CROSS-SECTIONAL ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. There are grammatical errors everywhere in the manuscript. It requires a thorough proof reading as well as rephrasing of several sentences.2. The title says "Cross-Sectional", whereas, in the METHODOLOGY section the study is mentioned as a "Case-control study". Why there is a discrepancy? Which is the correct study type?3. In the abstract and methodology among the several factors that were correlated is "dyslipidemia". This term was not defined in the manuscript, and further the tables provided do not mention this term instead they show the individual lipid profiles. This needs correction.4. Methodology: Why non-probability sampling technique was used? As it is prone to bias. What were the constraints in performing randomisation.5. Ethics / Ethical Clearance is not mentioned anywhere. Was it obtained or not? As this study involves drawing blood from the subjects.6. In the RESULTS section it is mentioned that secondary data analysis was done. What was the source of secondary data? In the methodology it is mentioned that the blood was drawn and analyzed for the levels of certain elements/substances. Either a correction in the manuscript or explanation to this comment is needed from the author for performing Secondary data analysis.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Keywords: These should be arranged alphabetically.2. It is mentioned that the blood pressure was estimated by usual method. This needs to be specified, as usual methods vary from centre to centre.3. Tables: Need to add caption defining the types of p in the header to avoid confusion.4. Reference number 5 is not properly cited.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Yes, but minor (Using subjects' blood for investigation)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ankur Singh
Department, University & Country	Narsinhbhai Patel Dental College & Hospital, Narsinhbhai Patel Dental College & Hospital, India