

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_87054
Title of the Manuscript:	EMOTIONAL AND THERAPEUTIC ELEMENTS OF CIGARETTE SMOKING CONTROL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>The title of the article should be reframed. The frequency tables were not drawn to meet the standards. The percentages, as calculated, were wrong. The emotional and therapeutic variables need to be included.</p>	<p>Title: I suggest the title should read "EMOTIONAL AND THERAPEUTIC ELEMENTS OF CONTROLLING CIGARETTE SMOKING: A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY AT THE SMOKING CESSATION CENTRES, LAHORE."</p> <p>Abstract: This is supposed to give a summary of the manuscript that the reader may find it important to understand the problem or the situation you are reporting. Aim – Give the main purpose of the research, instead of writing several objectives. It should like this: The aim of this study was to investigate the impact of services rendered by health professionals in reducing cigarette smoking at the smoking cessation centres. Methodology – This should capture the research design, study population, inclusion and exclusion criteria, sampling technique and determination frame, data collection tool, data analysis and presentation of results, ethical consideration, and report on an important inferential statistics. Results – This should cover only the key findings of the study. Conclusion – You draw conclusion from the key findings of the study. Recommendation – Add recommendation to the abstract.</p> <p>Materials and Methodology: This session in the body of the manuscript needs to be written in detail to cover the major areas such as the study area and location, research design, study population and unit, and inclusion and exclusion criteria to bring readers to a conclusive comprehension of your article. Time frame for the study is well indicated. The methodology should include sample size determination, sampling procedure and the variables (independent and dependent) of the study, research instrument for data collection, data collection procedure, and data analysis and presentation of results, including any inferential data presented. Ethical considerations and limitations of the study should be included.</p> <p>Conclusion: Conclusion should be drawn from the results of the study, not a summary of the article as you have written it. It should devoid of any statistical data.</p> <p>Recommendation: Read through the conclusion again, and separate the recommendation from it. Will give you feedback as an attachment when the result comes from Creative savantz</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments Add Recommendation.</p>	<p>Recommendation was not found in your report Improve upon his/her grammar</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>You need to opt for a referencing style to match your report.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Authorization was obtained just from the General Secretariat.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Richard Opoku Asare
Department, University & Country	College of Nursing Ntotroso, Ghana