

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86962
Title of the Manuscript:	In-vivo Antioxidant's Modulatory properties of Justicia carnea Aqueous Leave Extract on Sheep Red Blood Cells Immunized Mice
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Very long title. It can be written in no more than 15 words and state the essentials of the study, which are the antioxidant modulating properties of the extract of Justicia carnea. - It is not recommended to define acronyms in the abstract. - In the first part of the summary, if you talk about the factors that are related to oxidative stress, it would be more correct to say: the processes triggered by inflammatory cytokines... - The first sentence of the summary of conclusions is redundant. - The last sentence of the summary of the results does not refer to a result of the present investigation - The acronyms must be defined from the introduction and before using them. Once an acronym is defined, it should be used whenever it refers to that defined word or words. - They must avoid hypercitations. There are paragraphs that only require a single citation and some should not have it. In the methods, the description of the steps followed by the authors as long as they are not created by another author should not be cited. - Several parts of the report have analyzed weight. But this variable has not been related to the central idea of the work: the modulating effect on the oxidative stress of... 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There are more recent concepts that better define what oxidative stress is. This is more than an immunological expression... - The first tables present information that does not need to be tabulated. The results can be written in text form. - NADPH is not an enzyme. It is a reduced cofactor. They may refer to NADPH oxidase. - Do not redefine already defined acronyms. Use the acronyms. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Always check the grammar after writing the report. This will improve understanding. 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Annier Jesús Fajardo Quesada
Department, University & Country	Universidad de Ciencias Médicas de Granma, Cuba