

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86922
Title of the Manuscript:	CYTOLOGICAL STUDY OF SALIVARY GLAND LESIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MILAN SYSTEM OF REPORTING SALIVARY GLAND CYTOPATHOLOGY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The author/s report original data on salivary gland cytology. The topic is of interest, the manuscript requires revision for publishing. Standard requirements should be fulfilled: page numbering (and/or continuous line numbering), uniform reference format (ex pagenumber format, similar).</p> <p>Examples of words/phrases to revise</p> <p>"So, the aim" "So" can be deleted or reformulated to "Therefore".</p> <p>"and histopathological correlation was done wherever possible" The authors should reformulate to more precise: ex "Correlations to histopathology/microscopy aspects of resected and/or biopsied lesions were performed in NN cases in which tissue specimens were available." Similar.</p> <p>"reclassified" please verify if "re-evaluated" is not more adequate?</p> <p>"Histopathological follow up" can be reformulated to "Histopathology assessment" Avoid frequent use of "It is", "it"</p> <p>"quite accurate" can be reformulated to more scientific/academic phrase "many studies felt" should be reformulated</p> <p>"Our study" can be reformulated to "This study"</p> <p>"Histopathological examinations of these cases" can be reformulated to "Histopathological examination of the resected/biopsied lesions", similar.</p> <p>Table 3: "No" could be written as entire word, "Category" corresponds to the cytology- category? "Cat" corresponds to what? "Risk of malignancy" corresponds to what? Presence of a malignant lesion at histopathology assessment of resected/biopsied lesion?</p> <p>Figures: indicators (arrows, similar could be added in order to indicate tumor cells and normal cells). The types of the photographed specimens should be noted (cytology specimen, histopathology of biopsy/resection specimen). The names of the stains should be noted as entire words, the words "stain", "original magnification" could be added (Legends of figures) in order to avoid ambiguities. The entire manuscript should be revised accordingly.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please see comments above.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please see comments above.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adriana Handra-Luca
Department, University & Country	France