

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86723
Title of the Manuscript:	An Anti-aging Dietary Supplement Inhibits Dipetidylpeptidase-4 (DPP-4) and Arginase In Vitro and Improves Quality of Life Factors of Middle-aged Adults
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	RAS	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Title The title can be rephrased. I propose : In vitro test of inhibition of dipetidylpeptidase-4 (DPP-4) and arginase by a dietary supplement and its potential for improving quality of life factors in adults</p> <p>Abstract RAS</p> <p>Keys words DPP-4 : Maybe delete</p> <p>Introduction RAS</p> <p>Material and methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This methodology used is not referenced. The references must be introduced even if it was an improved method. A small justification of the composition of the mixture used would be very useful to help understand this method. - Use Roman numerals for table numbering - This portion must necessarily be reformulated to give more adequate content and meaning. - It is necessary to try to justify the choice of food supplement, its composition. Here is the methodology part and the references are very important. If it is your own method in experimentation, it should be noted. <p>Results and discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Discussions are too brief. - There is always no reference. Please add references. - Use Roman numerals for table numbering <p>Conclusion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I suggest rephrasing this sentence. Because I propose to remove table 3 from the conclusion - I suggest removing this information from the conclusion. A subtitle must be created in the results and discussion section just after case 4, i.e. just before the conclusion, to insert this table3. <p>References The number of authors quoted in the body of the text appears in this reference section. However, I suggest a complete rereading of the references for a harmonization of the style and to make any corrections.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>References The number of authors quoted in the body of the text appears in this reference section. However, I suggest a complete rereading of the references for a harmonization of the style and to make any corrections.</p> <p>In this work, the principles of ethics (Choice of subjects; Consents; Processing of personal data, etc.) have been taken into account and well respected.</p> <p>We found no evidence of plagiarism. The authors have shown a significant writing ability</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wilfrid Hinnoutondji Kpetehoto
Department, University & Country	University of Abomey-Calavi, Benin