

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86693
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDIES ON THE EFFICACY OF VARIOUS ANTIMYCOTIC DRUGS ON EMERGING AND REEMERGING, SUPERFICIAL, CUTANEOUS AND SUBCUTANEOUS MYCOTIC INFECTIONS
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In the introduction you stated that you will test the efficacy of 5 systemic and Topical antifungal agents, beclometasone is not an antifungal agent it is a glucocorticoid that is used in combination with antifungal drugs as an anti-inflammatory drug to relieve the symptoms of a flare-up by reducing inflammation, itching and redness.</li> <li>- In the methods section, page 8, you stated that "Sterile disks were also impregnated with 10 l of 1:100 dilution of DMSO to serve as control" what are the disks you mean?</li> <li>- You also mentioned that "When growth took place, the size of zones of inhibition were measured for each antifungal agent as was done by in their study" When we use E-test we measure the MIC value not the zone of inhibition.</li> <li>- In the results section page 9: the authors stated that "104 (57.78%) of samples were obtained from skin, 36 (20.0%) from skin, and 40(22.22%) from nails" samples from skin were mentioned twice.</li> <li>- Favre et al.(2003), Santos et al,(2006), Barros et al(2010) and Sarifakioglu et al.(2007), the references you cited in the results and discussion section should have numbers like the rest of references; moreover; Barros et al(2010) is not listed in the references section.</li> <li>- [8] in their study, got MIC range of 0.038–1.5 µg/ml for Itraconazol. Comparable results were also found by [4] in their study. on the evaluation of E-test for dermatophytes. The finding of high sensitivity of Candida species to beclometasone (MIC≤0.13 µg/ml) in this study seems to agree with the findings of [2] In this study, You should mention the name of author of this study before the reference numbers.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Eiman Mohammed Abdul Rahman
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt