

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86518
Title of the Manuscript:	Pediatric Anthropometrics: An observational study from Indus Medical college, Tando Muhammad Khan, Sindh, Pakistan
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the "Methodology" section beside description of the study population the definition of: "Low weight, Obesity, Short Stature" should be added and also the nomograms that were used is part of the "methods" There is NO definition of these categories! A report on percentiles or Z score or both should be added, both for weight and height</p> <p>In the discussion (as well as in the introduction) several factors for abnormal anthropometrics are discussed although in the methods and in the results sections there are no comments on the: general health of the children included in the study, feeding habits of feeding disorders. At least!</p> <p>There are some more recent papers on the topic (there is only one paper from 2015 cited as a reference)</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Fig 1 is not necessary</p> <p>Age stratification should include also a mean age of the subgroups I would suggest to report infants as a separate group, between 1 and 5 years a second one, between 5 and 11 years as a third group and adolescents, above 12 years separately</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paraschiva Chereches-Panta
Department, University & Country	University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Iuliu Hatieganu", University in Cluj-Napoca, Romania