

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86518
Title of the Manuscript:	Pediatric Anthropometrics: An observational study from Indus Medical college, Tando Muhammad Khan, Sindh, Pakistan
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✍ In abstract, Study place, duration and design should be included under methods. ✍ In your abstract conclusion, be specific and write those abnormalities what you observed, rather than saying various abnormalities... ✍ Your tables and figures should answer the questions what, where and when, however, your tables and figures answer only what, add place where the study was conducted and the year when the study was conducted. ✍ You categorized weight and height for children as above normal, normal and below low than body mass index. This best as your study participants were sick children seeking health care. What by mean below low? Is it to mean below normal? ✍ It is better if you label the figures with percent value than frequency. ✍ In the beginning, your discussion seems introduction, in this part, focus on your objective and findings, then compare and contrast it with previous research results or reports and finally, state why these differences occurred among your and previous reports or findings. ✍ Avoid using proper nouns like we... use words like researchers, investigators, observers... ✍ In discussion part, there were statements that state about limitations and your future plan. Your limitation statement avoids many questions could be raised, but why your future plan? Is it part of discussion? Take it to recommendation part and state it in general statement for all researchers. ✍ What was your recommendation standing from your findings for children? For parents? Or for researchers? So, add recommendation for your conclusion. ✍ Your some references are too old dated like reports in the year of 1910, 1935, 1986.... 	
Minor REVISION comments	Check and correct punctuation marks and word spacing	
Optional/General comments	☞	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Consent was taken from parents and demographic data and anthropometric measurements	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elias Ezo
Department, University & Country	Wachemo University, Ethiopia