

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86509
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative study in hematological parameters between first wave vs second wave in ICU and non- ICU COVID-19 patients in India.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. There were a lot of grammatical errors such as missing articles and compounds in this work. The corrections meant to be inserted are in red, while those to be removed have a strikethrough.</li><li>2. Clarifications are coloured yellow</li><li>3. The Abstract has been rephrased and presented in red. The authors may want to adopt the rephrased version.</li><li>4. Keywords are not supposed to have the conjunction 'and'. Keywords are separated by commas, only.</li><li>5. The authors should adopt a uniform English wording (American or British). For example: if the authors use Hematology or Anemia (American) in one part, they are not supposed to use the British wording, Haematology or Anaemia.</li><li>6. The Authors should adhere to standard units and use them throughout.</li><li>7. A full stop must accompany the word et al.</li><li>8. Some statements are categorical statements and must be cited</li><li>9. References are not reflected in the body of the work. This is a serious flaw that cannot be accepted in scientific writings. All numbered references must correspond to the list of references. Meticulous and deliberated efforts must be made to correct this serious anomaly. All names cited in the body of the work must correspond to the names on the list of references.</li><li>10. Statistical software used for the analysis must be properly cited.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This work is very interesting and adds to the wealth of knowledge in the field. However, the Authors must ensure that the corrections are made.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Ayuba Zakari
Department, University & Country	University of Jos, Nigeria