

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86421
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF HELICOBACTER PYLORI GASTRITIS AMONG PATIENTS WITH SYMPTOMATIC CHOLELITHIASIS
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p>The authors report the results of a prospective study investigating the prevalence of helicobacter pylori gastritis in patients with symptomatic cholelithiasis. The cohort with cholelithiasis consisted in 110 consecutive patients whereupon &gt;70% were women and half of these of childbearing age. Helicobacter pylori was found in 70.1% of these individuals. The authors suspect that HP is the cause of cholelithiasis and mention the need for more studies.</p> <p><b>Comments and suggestions</b>  <b>Abstract:</b>            OPD needs to be written out. The participants probably underwent diagnostic upper endoscopy.  <b>Method:</b>            Is there an approval of the study protocol by the ethics committee? Have the investigators obtained the informed written consent of the participants?  <b>Biopsies:</b> how many gastric biopsies have been taken and of which part of the stomach? Has only one biopsy been stained in search of Hp? Were these biopsies stained with H&amp;E, Whartin-Starry Silver or with immune-histochemical methods? How many pathologist have inspected the biopsies?            How many physicians have contributed patients for the study? How many endoscopists have done the upper endoscopies? Have the endoscopies been performed in all included patients, independently of the official guidelines for the gastroscopic examination or have only patients with symptomatic cholelithiasis and clear indication for endoscopic examination been included?            Has Hp been searched from the gallbladder specimens? Has the composition of the gallstones been analysed? Have patients with chronic hemolytic or chronic inflammatory disorders been excluded?  <b>Results:</b>            What is the prevalence of cholelithiasis and that of HP infection in your country, and what is the expected rate of inhabitants with both conditions ? Do the results of your study reflect the prevalence of both disorders in your population?            How many of the participants could avoid cholecystectomy after the eradication of Hp and the cure of the chronic gastritis and disappearance of the symptoms? How many patients remained symptomatic after cholecystectomy ? How many of these required a second gastroscopy and had persistence of HP and failure of eradication therapy?            What are the risk factors for cholesterol gallstone disease in your country: are there more carriers of lith genes or more lifestyle problems, such as metabolic syndrome and dietary factors (western diet)?            What about the familiarity of gallstone disease?  <b>Discussion:</b>            the findings of the study should also be compared with the local epidemiological situation.            Usually, the limitations of the study are listed and justified at the end of the discussion.  <b>References:</b>            Refs 5, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 are incomplete</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	Denise Herzog
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	Cantons Hospital of Fribourg, Switzerland