

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86395
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation of Serum Urate Levels And Lichen Planus in Male and Female Patients
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It is an interesting investigation, although some elements need improvement.</p> <p>In introduction, Authors should add stress as factor contributing to the development of LP.</p> <p>As for methods, the biggest disadvantage of the study is the lack of control group, but since the study is over there is nothing to do about it.</p> <p>Hence the Authors assess uric acid, which is frequently elevated in patients with metabolic disorders, it is compulsory to mention the relationship of LP with metabolic syndrome. The Authors assumed correct exclusion criteria, nevertheless in general the rate of patients with LP and elevated uric acid would actually be higher because they present metabolic disorders more frequently. The Authors have to write additional paragraph in the introduction or discussion regarding the confirmed association of LP with metabolic syndrome, citing for instance: DOI: 10.3390/biology10111129.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>I would cite more references, now there are only 20.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The big advantage is a big number of participants over 100 people. The references are recent.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> no	
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	no	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Julia Nowowiejska
Department, University & Country	Medical University of Bialystok, Poland