

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_86356 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Comparative Evaluation of anaesthetic efficacy of ropivacaine and articaine with epinephrine for buccal infiltration anaesthesia in Maxilla Central Incisor and their effect on Cardiovascular parameters – a randomized control trial |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Interesting article, I liked it very much, it requires minor corrections | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <p>Abstract : Articaine group (206.50 ± 9.34) than Ropivacaine group (318.30 ± 43.21)- please specify the units, is it seconds or minutes?</p> <p>Materials: Pulse oximeter (EZ-LIFE) and Sphygmomanometer (Diamond BPLD123), pulp tester (Yusendent)- <i>please add the producer and country of origin.</i></p> <p>Figure 3 - No data on the Y axis is it time in seconds or minutes?</p> <p>Mean pulse rate was less in Articaine group (77.90 ± 2.72) than Ropivacaine group (90.10 ± 4.01) at immediate time period. Mean pulse rate was less in Articaine group (80.10 ± 2.37) than Ropivacaine group (91.50 ± 4.03) at post-operative time period - <i>please add BPM(beats per minute), as the unit of measure.</i></p> <p>Literature: 20 and 5, Kopacz DJ at al- the same article is given as items 5 and 20, please correct, this will change the numbering throughout the manuscript Krzemiński TF et al. position 6 and 26 see upper comment, the same manuscript</p> | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Name: | Zbigniew Raszewski |
| Department, University & Country | Czechia |