

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86178
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of whiting cream: A Tertiary care study
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Overall, the study is well-organized and the manuscript is well-written (except for English mistakes), some of my comments are listed below (please also see the revised manuscript):</p> <p>1. In the inclusion of patients, authors should specify what kind of “whitening creams” (did all the patients use the same product? Ingredient differences?) were used by the patients. Because the harmful chemicals in the whitening creams may reasonably cause those side effects. If the kinds of whitening creams were not a variable that needed to be considered in this study the author should also mention it in the manuscript.</p> <p>2. In the material and methods part, the author stated: “A total of 140 consecutive patients of both gender,...” So did the study include both male and female patients or only female patients?</p> <p>3. English mistakes that needed to be checked carefully again, for example: “sensitivity 99 (7.14%), acne 52 (37.14%)” should be “sensitivity in 99 patients (7.14%), acne in 52 patients (37.14%)” I have corrected some of the English mistakes (but not all!) in the manuscript. The authors please carefully review the manuscript again.</p> <p>4. I would suggest the authors change their title to the following: “Effects of whitening cream: a cross-sectional study in a tertiary care setting”</p> <p>5. The word “whitening” is misspelled many times. Please check again.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. Please avoid the use of the word “i.e. in the manuscript. The authors can use “such as” or “for example” instead.</p> <p>2. I have corrected the institute name as it is not in the acceptable form in a scientific journal. The author please check if the name, as well as their country, is correct. → “Peoples University of Medical &amp; Health Science for Women Nawabshah (PUMHSW), Sindh, Pakistan”</p> <p>3. In the Discussion: “WCs” The abbreviations should be spelled out and mentioned upon the first mention in the manuscript.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ho Yin Pekkle Lam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tzu Chi University, Taiwan</b>