

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86093
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOANALYTICAL METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION FOR THE ESTIMATION OF SAXAGLIPTIN IN MARKETED TABLET FORMULATION
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Abstract needs to be precise, results and data's needs to be included.2. Keywords need to be added.3. Grammatical, spelling and space need to be checked.4. Source of figure 15. Selection of Mobile phase section need to be rewrite.6. 50:50 v/v or 60:40v/v mobile phase ratio is not matching with the selection ration.7. TEA write the abbreviation.8. Extraction of drug sample section stand it aside for how many minutes, mention the time.9. In Linearity section what is the figure number10. In repeatability where is the table 6.15.11. In LOQ and LOD what is the table number.12. In Analysis of tablet sample what is the different concentration.13. In the chromatogram why the Run time is different, the chromatogram stopped in different times.14. What is the method Run time?15. Reference needs to be checked for example 13 number reference has missing information.	
Optional/General comments	Lot of grammatical errors observed all over the article.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gunasekar Manoharan
Department, University & Country	New Jersey Bio Science Centre, USA