

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83880
Title of the Manuscript:	Trans-fistula anorectoplasty (TFARP) in the management of anorectal malformation (ARM) with recto vestibular fistula (RVF) in females. A retrospective cross-sectional study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. authors must write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract</b>            The authors have reported their experience on the technique of Trans-fistula anorectoplasty in cases of vestibular fistula in female children. They aim to determine the outcome of the procedure.            The age range was 3 months – 6 years.            1The authors have designated their study as “a retrospective cross-sectional study”. This requires correction or clarification on their part            The name of the university and city need not be shared and can be omitted</p> <p><b>Main text introduction –</b>            1 The authors state that they initially did a covering colostomy. In how many cases was it done before they switched over to single-stage procedure.</p> <p><b>Method</b>            2 Preparation of colon done preoperatively with a colonic solution. Please specify the medicine used, its dosage, method of administration and the technique of bowel preparation            3 The authors mention “The fistular connection continues to the thick wall rectum after adequate mobilization of rectum achieved extended up to the cervix and posteriorly up to sacral promontory” It looks like the anterior mobilization of the rectum was done up to the cervix and posteriorly up to the sacral promontory. Why was posterior mobilisation done so high up?            4 There is no operative photograph or a diagrammatic representation of the procedure or its postoperative appearance.            5 What was the follow-up protocol and duration            6 The authors state that postoperative data regarding both early and late complications were collected. However, in the result section, they have mentioned continence as good fair and poor. Kindly mention the scoring criteria for measuring the continence.            7 It is mentioned that Data were analyzed by using SPSS version 26. But again, there is only representational data and no analytical/ comparative data presented by the authors.</p> <p><b>Results</b>            5 Please do not repeat information in text and table. Kindly make a table or a graph to represent the data.</p> <p><b>Discussion</b>            As this is a new technique there are not many articles on the subject. The authors are suggested to present this as a review article combining the data of the previous authors (including theirs), who have reported on this technique and if possible compare the outcome with other established techniques like ASARP and PSARP.</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

	<b>Conclusion</b> The authors state that Trans-fistula anorectoplasty in children is a better technique that has a good cosmetic appearance and anal continence. On what basis has this statement been made. This is a new technique done for a very specific type of anorectal anomaly (namely vestibular fistula). It is being practised by a few surgeons and has not yet gained wider acceptability. Moreover, there is no comparative/ statistical data available to make such a statement. I suggest the authors make necessary changes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Grammar needs to be corrected in a lot of places	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nitin Pant</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>King George's Medical University, India</b>