

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83786
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIDIABETIC ACTIVITY OF CLITORIA TERNATEA LINN
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Comments</p> <p>Abstract : To repeat</p> <p>The abstract must contain an objective of the work, a small introduction, the methodology of the work, the results and a conclusion</p> <p>Introduction:</p> <p>Very poor in information.</p> <p>Antidiabetic activity</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Missing the presentation of the results, moreover during a diabetes mellitus the transaminases and the alkaline phosphatase do not change.2. The majority of references are old;	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>I see that it is a theoretical research (bibliographic) with many imperfections and has no scientific interest.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samira Boussekine
Department, University & Country	Larbi Tebessi University, Algeria