

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83781
Title of the Manuscript:	Updated in Etiology, Risk factors, diagnosis and Management of Intestinal Obstruction
Type of the Article	A REVIEW

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. TITLE: The title appears inadequate. Does it meet up with the aims and objectives of the research? <i>Updated etiology, Risk factors, diagnosis and Management of Intestinal Obstruction: A Review</i></p> <p>2. AIM: What are the aims and objectives of this paper? Kindly stick to them in your review</p> <p>3. ABSTRACT: This area needs to be revised. It should be separated into Introduction, Aim, Methods, Results (Advancements or drawbacks as regards of Bowel Obstruction), and conclusion (your thought)</p> <p>4. INTRODUCTION: This area is the key to your paper. You should carry out a proper review of this subject. The review should tailor to the aim and objectives of the paper. Bring the fundamentals to the research carried out by other workers. Are there advancements and drawbacks in their approach and procedures? You completely neglected the laboratory diagnosis of this ailment in your review. This is must be well illustrated. What is the importance of the use of some special tests in the diagnosis of this important disease? Are there markers as a test for confirmation? Compare the different diagnostic and management procedures. What are your findings? Which methods are more preferable?</p> <p>5. CONCLUSION This area should be your opinion. What is the summary of your observation? What are you recommending?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chukwuma Okafor
Department, University & Country	State University of Zanzibar, Tanzania