

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83747
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Binaural Beats on Preoperative Anxiety among Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript reports the benefit of BB for preoperative stress reduction. This Idea is well documented in literature. Although there are different and nonspecific application for BB, the "Binaural beat" has specific definition in scientific references: Binaural auditory beats are a perceptual phenomenon that occurs when presenting separately to each ear two tones that slightly differ in their frequency. There was no detail about the BB in methods section of manuscript: What was the frequency range of BB? And their patterns? (Delta pattern, Theta pattern, Alpha pattern, Beta pattern or Gamma pattern) How the BB was played? through headphones or ... What about the time interval between exposure and outcome assessments?</p> <p>The first paragraph of result was repeated in table 1. The effect of BB is age dependent. It was necessary to be referred in discussion.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>This line in conclusion need correction: ..."Physiologic indicators of anxiety such as DBP and HR..." DBP must replace with SBP</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>It is better to mentioned the ethical No.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seyed Mehran Hosseini
Department, University & Country	Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Iran