

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83623
Title of the Manuscript:	AGE ESTIMATION THROUGH ROOT MATURATION OF RIGHT MANDIBULAR 3rd MOLAR BY USING IMPROVED GLEISER AND HUNT TECHNIQUE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p><u>01</u> "The main goal of the study is to estimate the age of an individual by evaluating the root maturation in the right side of the mandible (48)" What is the meaning of "(48)"? If it is "tooth 48", then write (tooth 48), otherwise the reader may think that this is the reference number 48.</p> <p><u>02</u> The authors did not submit the work to local ethical committee approval. This is a serious issue.</p> <p><u>03</u> The statistical methods were poorly explained. The authors need to provide more details about it in the Materials and Methods section.</p> <p><u>04</u> Most of the Discussion section consists of paragraphs beginning with a repetition of the results followed by the citation of the results of other studies, without an actual discussion of the findings of the study. Some other paragraphs consist of a short review on a specific subject related to the study, but without an actual discussion of the research conducted by the authors. The authors need to address this issue.</p> <p><u>05</u> "Gleiser and Hunt observed a more efficient measure of dental and sequential age determination of a immature and young person aged 10 to 20 years by instituting the radiological method of permanent mandibular second and third molars (left side)." This is not a conclusion of your study. This is an observation about the study of Gleiser and Hunt. "The goal of third molar tooth eruption and achievement is to develop between the ages of 10 and 15 years, which falls inside the adolescent period." This is also not a conclusion of your study. This is based on other studies. The authors need to conclude based on their results/findings only.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bruno Chrcanovic
Department, University & Country	Malmö University, Sweden