

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83447
Title of the Manuscript:	ROLE OF MODERATE RISK SUSEPTIBILTY GENES IN DEVELOPMENT OF BREAST CANCER
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This review summary the moderate risk factors in breast cancer. The manuscript is lack of novelty. And the English is poorly written, There are many grammatical and spelling mistakes.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction section, the epidemiological situation of GC is not latest, please refer to the following paper (Global cancer statistics 2020: CA Cancer J Clin, 2021. doi: 10.3322/caac.21660. 2. The use of abbreviations are commendable and makes reading an article more pleasant. However, please introduce abbreviations in their first occurrence and following which only the abbreviations should be used in the rest of the article, such as World Health Organization (WHO). 2. Please write some words in uniform, such as HER-2, Her2. 3. It would be helpful that authors could give figure to summary the role the risk factors. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>There are some typos in the whole manuscript, Such as "BERCA1 and BERCA2", should these be "BRCA1 and BRCA2". And please delete the citations in abstract section.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>This manuscript needs careful editing by someone with expertise in technical English editing.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Xiaojing Chang
Department, University & Country	The Second Hospital of Hebei Medical University, China