

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83397
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Incidence and Peak Age of Anxiety Disorders at a General Hospital: a Five-year Cross-sectional Study</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The research methods are in accordance with technical scientific standards. The details of the methods/process have been given but the authors can involve some more explanations connected to the process of clinical diagnosis and statistical manual which has been used. In addition, the involved number of patients is large which means that the authors can use more complicate statistical methods.</li><li>2. Results &amp; discussion - Authors provides relevant and current references during discussion. Discussion and conclusions are based on actual facts and figures.</li><li>3. Conclusions are supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript. The conclusions can be more comprehensive if the more statistical analysis are used.</li><li>4. The references cited are relevant and adequate.</li></ol>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Miroslava Petkova</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Trakia University, Bulgaria</b>