

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83370
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Validation of an HPLC-MS/MS method for the determination of Remdesivir in Rat Plasma
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Authors should mention whether the validation of analytical method is a protocol or Standard Operating Procedure and whether they are applying the validation to follow cGLP guidelines.2. Before validation begins the authors should include system suitability section. Precision do not count for system suitability as per USP Validation requirement either for cGMP or cGLP by FDA Bioanalytical method validation guidelines for industry, May 2018.3. The authors should establish ruggedness of analytical method by using different instruments, analysts and solvents and other chemicals with different lot numbers.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Correct "Remdesivir" to "remdesivir" as marked in red. Remdesivir is not a trade name and hence should not be capitalised in the middle of sentence.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is required to meet the guidelines for bio analytical method validation set by FDA.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rao Gollapudi
Department, University & Country	University of Kansas, USA