

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83310
Title of the Manuscript:	Prescriptions audit for antibiotics among outpatients in the department of periodontics
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction – this needs to be revised extensively to introduce all of the concepts which are important to the reader's understanding of the results (eg clinical diagnoses of periodontal disease, what the guidelines say about systemic v local use of antibiotics for these diagnoses). Please also remove any statements which are not supported by a reference (eg 'health funding in India is unsatisfactory' and 'dental care is an essential component of our daily life'). You also need to introduce the National List of Essential Medicines and reference it.</p> <p>References which you might find helpful to include are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - World Health Organization's global strategy on oral health - FDI World Dental Federation's Vision 2030 - FDI white paper on the essential role of dental teams tackling antibiotic resistance <p>Results – fewer and smaller tables – more description – be careful not to be to accurate in your percentages (one decimal place is fine)</p> <p>Discussion – please review content and style. The first paragraph needs to be a general summary of the results and what's new from this paper. The comparison of the results to existing literature needs to be much more extensive. There are plenty of papers about antibiotics in dentistry which have been published in the last few years which should be referenced – also some of the literature cited relating to periodontal treatment is rather old.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wendy Thompson
Department, University & Country	University of Manchester, UK