

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83282
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative evaluation of the relationship between different anthropometric measurements on the face with the mesiodistal width of the maxillary central incisor in males and females in local population in Pune, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The Conclusion subsection of the Abstract should be scientifically rigorous and sober. It should start with the main conclusion of the study, whether positive or negative or inconclusive.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Reflect on whether the abstract is compelling enough that readers will want to go read the full paper, (assuming its topic is relevant to their needs). Look again at each subsection of the abstract. Is the Background clear and convincing? Is the Methods subsection limited to the key features, rather than minor details? Is the Results subsection focused on the main findings that answer the study question? Does the Conclusion end with a clear take-home message.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abu-Hussein Muhamad
Department, University & Country	University of Athens, Greece