

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83269
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARISON BETWEEN OPIOID FREE ANAESTHESIA AND CONVENTIONAL OPIOID BASED ANAESTHESIA FOR PATIENTS UNDERGOING LAPROSCOPIC SURGERIES UNDER GENERAL ANESTHESIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript is well organized, and extremely well referenced. The statistical methods used to analyse the data were appropriate. The sample size was barely adequate, with N > 33 generally accepted to be the level at which p values become asymptotic, but is certainly is good enough for this type of clinical research. The selection of measured data provided data of clinical value. Quite frankly, this is a fine article, which should be accepted without revision	
Minor REVISION comments	None needed	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nelson Hendler
Department, University & Country	Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, USA