

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83264
Title of the Manuscript:	OBSERVATIONAL STUDY COMPARING THE SAFETY AND EFFICACY OF IGEL SUPRAGLOTTIC AIRWAY DEVICE INSERTION IN ANESTHETISED PATIENTS BY ANESTHESIA FACULTY VERSUS RESIDENTS
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. At first I would like to thank you for your manuscript, the general aim of the work is an interesting and clinically important idea.</p> <p>2. In the results section the author has informed the reader clearly that both groups include 40 patients. But in table 1; we see that in group F are 24 male and 26 female (total 50) patients and in group R are 16 male and 14 female (total 30) patients included. I think that is an overlooked mistake. Please correct table 1.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>3. In the end of Results section, the author says: "This a single centre study, carried out in a controlled environment with adequate facilities, experienced practitioners and fasted patients, which makes deriving conclusive evidence regarding effective use of I-gel supraglottic airway device by inexperienced practitioners in emergency situations difficult." This comment represents the limitation of the study very well and conducts the main conclusion of the study into learning curve. Thank you for that. But in the abstract the author still concluded about the easy learning curve "especially during a variety of emergency care and pre-hospital scenarios.". Because of the limitations of the study, that part should be changed.</p> <p>4. The author made no citations in the manuscript. References?</p>	
Optional/General comments	Thank you for your clear language and excellent manuscript.	

PART 2:

Review Form 1.6

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Özlem Ersoy Karka
Department, University & Country	Duzce University Faculty of Medicine, Turkey