

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83144
Title of the Manuscript:	Formulation and Characterisation of RGD-FBG based Nanoscaffolds of Everolimus Anti-cancer drug.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- It is suggested that the title of paper corrected to : Formulation and Characterisation of RGD-FBG based Nanoscaffolds as novel anti-cancer drug delivery system. 2- In a separate section in the introduction, <i>Everolimus</i> should be fully compared with other drugs used as anti-cancer materials, and the advantages of this drug should be expressed in relation to other materials. Also the chemical structure of this drug must be drawn. 3- Draw Chemical structure of RGD-FBG material, and report the reasons for selecting them. 4- Specify the phrase " Figure 1" in the text of the article. 5- Increase the quality of Figure 2, also mention its scale in the caption of the figure and mark it inside the figure with a red line . 6- Discuss about releasing behavior of the <i>Everolimus</i> more comprehensively. (Burst release? why?) 7- Add a diagram in the releasing section; (amount of released drugs versus time) and discuss about them carefully (section 3-3-3). 8- In section 3-3-3, the duration time of drug releasing of scaffolds should be compared with scientific reasons. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Farnaz sadat fattahi
Department, University & Country	Isfahan university of technology, Iran