

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83114
Title of the Manuscript:	“Direct Sinus augmentation procedure for rehabilitation of severely atrophic posterior maxilla with sticky bone – A case report”
Type of the Article	Case study

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) Period of post-operative follow-up of the patient is too less to evaluate the clinical and radiographic effect of the mentioned approach.</li><li>2) Clinical evidence/ pictures related to the placement of PRF membrane and its stabilization is to be provided.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) In introduction, scientific description of need for bone augmentation along with sinus lift is insufficient.</li><li>2) Citation of several articles in introduction and discussion is not provided in references.</li><li>3) In discussion, critical appraisal of present methodology is insufficient (benefits/ demerits).</li><li>4) There is no relevance of a reference in conclusion.</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The presented report is good from a clinical point of view. However the manuscript presentation needs betterment in terms of organisation and clinical analysis.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Tony kurien. J
Department, University & Country	Kerala University of Health Sciences, India