

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83048
Title of the Manuscript:	A Cross-sectional study on the development of Diabetic Cardiovascular Complications in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in a South Indian Tertiary Care Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1- The references need to be updated as the most recent source mentioned was in 2017, as it is not reasonable that there are no recent studies on the subject.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1- I think that there are tables that will be clearer and more beautiful in showing their content if they are in a graphic (pie charts, percentages) form instead of long and boring tables for the reader. 2- Discussion needs to be more detailed and need more references to compare the results of what author reached. 3- Some paragraph like (Education, Nature of work, Habit of tea/ coffee and Serum creatinine) were poorly discussed and the author should mention the main defect can caused by those parameter.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I think the phrase (if already documented) in data collection paragraph no necessary to be mentioned unless the author have doubts and miss-confidence in information of hospital	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yasir Haider Al-Mawlah
Department, University & Country	University of Babylon, Iraq