

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82886
Title of the Manuscript:	A comparative analysis of the performance of multiple data mining classification approaches using the Kn fold validation
Type of the Article	Original Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There should be one general introduction to enlighten us on the background of the study</li> <li>• The authors need to be clear with the referencing style used as there appears to be an inconsistent use</li> <li>• Generally, the authors have not made much references in the entire work. Have many previous studies not been done on this?</li> <li>• References are somewhat old. Update literature</li> <li>• Tables should not be placed beside texts. Also table headers should be placed above the tables where necessary</li> <li>• We need to know if the tables and figures were author-generated or are adopted. Kindly check this</li> <li>• Very importantly there is no discussion section to help us understand how your study contrasts or agrees with previous studies.</li> <li>• The methodology is not clearly stated and needs to be properly arranged for better understanding</li> <li>• There are no statements of ethical considerations.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Don't sectionalize the body of the work using Roman numerals. Remove all roman numerals and allow the headings without them</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Some literature are not properly cited. There is no ethical statement	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ugbe, Maurice-Joel Ugbe</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Calabar, Nigeria</b>