

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82798
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPROVING OF THE METHODS OF DIAGNOSING AND ELIMINATING OF BOVINE LEUKEMIA IN THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript Ms_JPRI_82798 entitled "Improving of the Methods of Diagnosing and Eliminating of Bovine Leukemia in the Russian Federation"</p> <p>The current article studied the spread of bovine leukemia in livestock enterprises of various forms of ownership, developed a new diagnostic PCR system to determine the provirus of the leukemia virus in finished dairy products, developed recommendations for livestock enterprises to reduce the time of recovery of livestock from leukemia, and improve methods of quality control of finished dairy products at processing enterprises in Russia.</p> <p>Title: Preferred to modify the title as follows: "Improving Diagnostic and Eliminating Techniques of Bovine Leukemia in the Russian Federation"</p> <p>Abstract: Clear and informative. Avoid citing references in the abstract. Few modifications were recommended to enhance the readability and understanding.</p> <p>Introduction: Clear and informative. Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding.</p> <p>The aim: Clear and informative.</p> <p>Materials and methods: Clear and informative. The authors have to list the details on the statistical analysis with a cited reference, as well as some abbreviations were listed without their full names. Some suggested modifications for increasing the readability and understanding.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: Results are clear, novel, and informative with some suggested modifications. The discussion was sufficient, clear, informative, and contribute to knowledge. Few suggested modifications for increasing the readability and understanding.</p> <p>Conclusion: Clear and informative with few modifications suggested.</p> <p>Tables: Properly displayed and organized.</p> <p>References: Excellent as 42% (13 out of 31) of the listed references were published in the past five years.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>General view: The manuscript was written in moderate English and grammar. Revision and copy editing is recommended.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No ethical issues are listed or pointed to in the manuscript.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Essam Samir Soliman
Department, University & Country	Suez Canal University, Egypt