

Impact of general health state, therapy satisfaction, and INR control on quality of life in warfarin patients

Comment [USER1]: Considering the statistical tools that were used, this is not an impact study.

Consider substituting “impact” for “relationships between”

Running title: Health state, therapy satisfaction and QoL in warfarin patients

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: This study aimed to assess impact of general health state, health literacy and INR control on quality of life in warfarin patients.

Comment [USER2]: This objective has some issues:

- 1.It does not match the title. In the title, you talk about therapy satisfaction, and replace it with health literacy in the abstract. Please let your objective be consistent
- 2.The objective in the abstract is quite different from what is stated in the introduction of the study. Please be consistent

METHODS: A cross-sectional study using a newly developed and validated research tool was conducted in warfarin patients. Data was collected by convenience sampling method. Descriptive, comparative, and inferential statistics were used by Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) ver. 24 to determine the sociodemographic correlates of warfarin knowledge in warfarin patients.

Comment [USER3]: Kindly indicate the setting of the study

RESULTS: Among total 275 studied warfarin patients, the majority of the studied warfarin patients were females than males (n=184, 62.4%, and n=111, 37.6% respectively). A total of 188 (63.7%) patients were satisfied from their healthcare providers while 107 (36.3%) were not satisfied from healthcare providers. Around 128 (43.4%) of the patients were actively managing their disease while 167 (56.6%) of the patients were not able to actively manage their disease.

Comment [USER4]: Your title indicates you are measuring the “impact”. Kindly include statistics indicating the impact of these variables on the QoL.

CONCLUSION: These results confirmed that overall study participants had moderate general health state, moderate therapy satisfaction, and better INR control.

Comment [USER5]: The conclusion here does not answer the research question. Kindly indicate what the impact of general state of health, therapy satisfaction/health literacy, and INR control is on the QoL of warfarin patients is.

Keywords: Warfarin, general health state, therapy satisfaction, INR control, QoL

INTRODUCTION

Health literacy deals with various different aspects like understanding a disease state, empowerment about a disease, ability of a patient to comprehend, appraise and best use of health information to manage his/her disease and therapy and make appropriate healthcare decisions ¹. In literature, few preliminary studies are evident that determined effects of health literacy on patients' overall disease management, their awareness about disease and therapy outcomes and patients' medication adherence. It is also reported that patients with insufficient or inadequate health literacy levels often result in poorer disease outcomes, complex health complications and worsening of the diseases ^{2,3}.

Warfarin always requires frequent and careful laboratory monitoring to minimize or avoid bleeding complications and to obtain optimum therapy due to its narrow therapeutic window. outcomes ⁴⁻⁸. It also has high inter- and inpatient variability, and is only effective if its therapeutic range is maintained and if its blood levels are above or below its therapeutic window, it exhibits greater risks of bleeding and thrombosis respectively ⁶⁻⁹. Warfarin is amongst the most frequently used oral anticoagulant, that is often prescribed to control and prevent various thromboembolic diseases like venous thromboembolism, stroke, atrial fibrillation, and valvular heart disease ⁷⁻⁹.

Quality of Life (QoL) is not only measured in healthy individuals but also in patients to estimate the overall health status of the society which ultimately helps in designing and implementing healthcare policies to improve the overall health status of the society ¹⁰⁻¹². The

QoL is a multi-dimensional model generally used to observe the impact of health status on individuals' quality of life^{13,14}. The WHOQOL-BREF tool is comprised of four different domains named physical, psychological, social and environmental domains¹⁰. Several studies across the globe are evident in the literature regarding the impact of warfarin therapy on disease outcomes, warfarin therapy duration and its anticoagulation control, the association of warfarin therapy duration and INR, treatment satisfaction and association of knowledge and beliefs with anticoagulation control¹³⁻²⁰. This study aimed to determine impact of general health state, health literacy and INR control on quality of life in warfarin patients.

Materials and methods

This was a cross-sectional study done and a self-administered questionnaire was employed. The study subjects were screened for inclusion and exclusion criteria. At first, information sheet was handed to patients and informed consent was taken. The questionnaire was delivered personally to the patients by the researcher who also collected them back after they completed the study. The sampling method employed was convenient sampling. Content validity of the questionnaire was checked before start of the study. Reliability of the questionnaire was assessed using Cronbach's alpha which is the most common tool to be used to measure internal consistency.

Statistical analyses

Percentages and frequencies were used for the categorical variables, while means and standard deviations were calculated for the continuous variables. Chi square and Spearman's correlation coefficient were used to evaluate correlations and impact of various variables on

Comment [USER6]: Kindly include specifics of these studies and the results obtained in them. This will serve as a base of comparison in the discussion section

Comment [USER7]: These variables were not captured in the introductory section:
1. Therapy satisfaction
2. General state of health
3. INR control
Kindly review some literature on them and operationalize their definitions in the introduction section

Comment [USER8]: This objective and the objective stated in the abstract do not match. In the abstract, you talk of health literacy. Please let your objectives be consistent throughout the write up.

Comment [USER9]: Briefly describe the content of the questionnaire

Comment [USER10]: Kindly indicate the inclusion and exclusion criteria

Comment [USER11]: What other ethical considerations were used? Kindly indicate the IRB and ethical approval code

Comment [USER12]: Where was the study conducted?

Comment [USER13]: Who were the study population?

What was the sample size?

How was the sample size calculated?

Comment [USER14]: What was the Cronbach's alpha coefficient?

Comment [USER15]: There is no mention of chi square in the results section. Kindly indicate where the chi square was used

Also, chi square determines the relationship, not impact

Comment [USER16]: None of these statistical tools measures the impact. Kindly revise

overall QoL of the studied warfarin patients. Data from the research questionnaire were analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 24.0.

RESULTS and DISCUSSION

Figure 1 represents the demographic data of the study participants. According to the results obtained, there was a total of 295 participants with more females than males (n=184, 62.4%, and n=111, 37.6% respectively). One hundred and eighteen (40.0 %) were less than 30-years whereas one hundred and seventy-seven (60.0%) were above 30-years. Ten (3.4%) had a primary level of education and 285 (96.6 %) had a higher level of education. One hundred and three (34.9 %) had comorbidities other than CVDs and one hundred and ninety-two had no comorbidity. Figure 1 describes the detailed demographic parameters used in this study.




Figure 1: Demographic details of the warfarin patients

Table 1 shows the key responses of warfarin patients about general health state, health literacy and INR control. A total of 188 (63.7%) patients were satisfied from their healthcare providers while 107 (36.3%) were not satisfied from healthcare providers. Around 128 (43.4%) of the patients were actively managing their disease while 167 (56.6%) of the patients were not able to actively manage their disease. A total of 147 (49.8%) of the patients were satisfied from their healthcare system while 148 (50.2%) of the patients were not satisfied from their healthcare system.

Table 1: General health state, health literacy and INR control

Items	Yes		No	
	N	%	N	%
I am satisfied with my HCP	188	63.7	107	36.3
I have enough information about my disease	155	52.5	140	47.5
I am actively managing my disease	128	43.4	167	56.6
I have social support for my disease	153	51.9	142	48.1
I have family support for my disease	273	92.5	22	7.5
I have an easy access to my HCP	198	67.1	97	32.9
I am satisfied with the healthcare system	147	49.8	148	50.2
I know what to do in any emergency	129	43.7	166	56.3
I know about my diet restrictions	209	70.8	86	29.2
I have easy access to pharmacy	168	56.9	127	43.1
I always have good counseling about my health	137	46.4	158	53.6
I know what is INR	98	33.2	197	66.8
I know about INR normal range	204	69.2	91	30.8
I know my INR is controlled	189	64.1	106	35.9
I know my INR was measured within last 6 months	232	78.6	63	21.4

Comment [USER17]: The content of the table are all perceptions and must be classified as such

Comment [USER18]:

Comment [USER19]: All the statements in this table are perceptions of the respondents and must be classified as such.

Comment [USER20]: This is perceived INR control. The term should thus run through the writeup instead of INR control

Figure 2 presents the mean QoL scores for all the four domains of the WHOQOL-BREF among the study respondents. The mean score for the physical health domain was 62.44±15.36.

Mean scores for the psychological domain, social relationship domain, and environment domain were 67.84 ± 15.54 , 64.27 ± 26.28 and 63.45 ± 17.66 respectively.




Figure 2: WHOQOL-BREF domains' scores

Table 2 shows the Spearman Rank order correlation coefficient scores between QoL domains and the obtained scores of the research tool. The association coefficient between WHOQOL-BREF four domains (physical, psychological, social and environment) vs total scores of the research tool were 0.134, 0.147, 0.162 and 0.111 respectively. There was statistically a significant correlation ($p < 0.05$) observed between the psychological domain and social domain of WHOQOL-BREF vs scores obtained from the research tool.

Table 2: Correlation of general health state, health literacy and INR control and QoL

WHOQOL-BREF domains	r-Value	p-Value
Physical domain	0.134	0.389

Psychological domain	0.147	0.033*
Social domain	0.162	0.039*
Environment domain	0.111	0.237

The patients' information about general health state, therapy satisfaction, and INR control is vital in optimizing its therapy outcomes, minimizing drug interactions and reducing adverse drug reactions²¹⁻²³. The current study determined the general health state, therapy satisfaction, and INR control and their effect on QoL in warfarin patients. Several factors were explored and their relationships towards general health state, therapy satisfaction, and INR control was determined using a newly developed and validated research tool in warfarin patients.

Comment [USER21]: Perceived.....

Comment [USER22]:

Assessment of the general health state, therapy satisfaction, and INR control is crucial to determine the exact health states of warfarin patients. According to the WHO's social and psychological determinants model for chronic disease patients, the social and psychological aspects of the patients are important to be evaluated in order to diminish healthcare and health state inequalities. Indeed, the general health state, therapy satisfaction, and INR control determination can help warfarin patients to understand well and deal fairly with their healthcare issues and improve their QoL.

Our study results confirmed that good healthcare literacy regarding patients' health state can help warfarin patients in improving their therapy adherence, enhancing treatment outcomes, and improvement in treatment satisfaction. A profound health literacy can also result in minimizing treatment complications and to avoid adverse drug reactions and drug or food

interactions among warfarin patients. Furthermore, life style modifications and treatment adherence can also act synergistically to further improve the patients' overall QoL.

Comment [USER23]: Kindly present a distinct discussion section and relate the specific results to empirical literature

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our study highlights that overall study participants had average to moderate general health state, moderate therapy satisfaction, and better INR control. The present study also showed a positive and statistically significant association between health state, treatment satisfaction and QoL.

COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

REFERENCES

1. Sorensen K, Van den Broucke S, Fullam J, et al. Health literacy and public health: a systematic review and integration of definitions and models. BMC Public Health. 2012;12(1):80.
2. Bostock S, Steptoe A. Association between low functional health literacy and mortality in older adults: longitudinal cohort study. BMJ. 2012;344
3. DeWalt DA, Berkman ND, Sheridan S, Lohr KN, Pignone MP. Literacy and health outcomes. J Gen Intern Med. 2004;19(12):1228–39.
4. Mayet, A.Y. (2016) Saudi Pharm. J. 24: 29-34.

5. Sølvi, U. Ø., E. Løkkebø, A. H. Kristoffersen, E. Brodin, M. Averina & S. Sandberg (2019) *Thromb Haemost.* 19:1632-1641.
6. Fang, M. C., E. L. Machtinger, F. Wang & D. Schillinger (2006) *Gen Intern Med* 21: 841-46.
7. Rose, A. J., A. Ozonoff, R. W. Grant, L. E. Henault & E. M. Hylek (2009) *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2: 591-7.
8. Wysowski D. K., P. Nourjah & L. Swartz (2007) *Arch Intern Med* 167: 1414–19.
9. Sølvi, U. Ø., E. Løkkebø, A. H. Kristoffersen, E. Brodin, M. Averina & S. Sandberg (2019) *Thromb Haemost.* 19:1632-1641.
10. World Health Organization. WHOQOL-BREF: Introduction, Administration, Scoring and Generic Version of the Assessment: Field Trial Version, December 1996.
11. Shields M, Wilkins K. Factors related to on-the-job abuse of nurses by patients. *Heal Reports* 2009; 20(2): 7-19.
12. Shi L, Starfield B. The Effect of Primary Care Physician Supply and Income Inequality on Mortality among Blacks and Whites in U.S. Metropolitan Areas. *American Journal of Public Health.* 2001;91:1246–50.
13. Shi L, Starfield B, Kennedy BP, Kawachi I. Income Inequality, Primary Care, and Health Indicators. *Journal of Family Practice.* 1999;48:275–84.
14. Leary S, Francis C. Healthy staff will enhance outcomes. *Health Serv J* 2007; 117(6056): 26-7.
15. Balkhi, B., M. Al-Rasheedi, A.I. Elbur & A. Alghamadi (2018) *Saudi Pharm. J.* 26: 145-49.
16. Davis, N. J., H. H. Billett, H. W. Cohen & J. H. Arnsten (2005) *Ann Pharmacother* 39: 632-6.
17. Eltayeb, T. Y .M., M.S. Mohamed, A.I. Elbur & A. S. A Elsayed (2017) *J. Saudi Heart Assoc.* 29: 169-75.
18. Al-Omair, S. F., N. A. Musallam, N. Y. Al-Deghaither, N. A. Al-Sadoun & N. M. K. Bayoumy (2016) *J Appl Hematol* 7: 10-16.
19. Shilbayeh, S. A. R., W. A. Almutairi, S. A. Alyahya, N. H. Alshammari, E. Shaheen & A. Adam (2018) *Int J Clin Pharm* 40: 56-66.

20. Platt, A. B., A. R. Localio, C. M. Brensinger, D. G. Cruess, J. D. Christie & R. Gross *et al.* (2010) *Chest* 137: 883–9.
21. Muhammad Shahid Iqbal. Predictors of health-related quality of life among healthcare professionals. *Medical Science*, 2020, 24(106), 4445-4452
22. Iqbal MS, Muthanna FMS, Kassab YW, Hassali MA, Al-Saikhan FI, et al. (2020) Determinants of health-related quality of life among warfarin patients in Pakistan. *PLOS ONE* 15(6): e0234734.
23. Muhammad Shahid Iqbal, Muhammad Zahid Iqbal, Yaman Walid Kassab, Salah-Ud-Din Khan. Evaluation of Socioeconomic Determinants of Quality of Life among Healthcare Providers. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutics*. 2020,14 (4), 671-676.

UNDER PEER REVIEW