

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82710
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of general health state, therapy satisfaction, and INR control on quality of life in warfarin patients
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • in the title of the study: Considering the statistical tools that were used, this is not an impact study. Consider substituting “impact” for “relationships between”. The word “impact should thus be eliminated throughout the writeup” • In the abstract, the objective stated objective has some issues: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It does not match the title. In the title, you talk about therapy satisfaction, and replace it with health literacy in the abstract. Please let your objective be consistent 2. The objective in the abstract is quite different from what is stated in the introduction of the study. Please be consistent <p>In the methods section of the abstract, kindly indicate the setting of the study</p> <p>The conclusion section of the abstract, the answer to research question needs to be indicated. Kindly indicate what the relationship (or impact, if the author still chooses to maintain the term) of general state of health, therapy satisfaction/health literacy, and INR control is on the QoL of warfarin patients is.</p> <p>In the introduction, kindly include specifics of studies examining the impact of warfarin therapy on the variables stated and the results obtained in them. This will serve as a base of comparison in the discussion section</p> <p>In the introduction, the objective in the introduction and the objective stated in the abstract do not match. In the abstract, you talk of health literacy. Please let your objectives be consistent throughout the write up.</p> <p>These variables were not captured in the introductory section:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Therapy satisfaction 2. General state of health 3. INR control <p>Kindly review some literature on them and operationalize their definitions in the introduction section</p> <p>In the methodology section:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Briefly describe the content of the questionnaire 2. Kindly indicate the inclusion and exclusion criteria 3. What other ethical considerations were used? Kindly indicate the IRB and ethical approval code 4. Where was the study setting? 5. Who were the study population? 6. What was the sample size? 7. How was the sample size calculated? 8. Kindly indicate the Cronbach's alpha coefficient <p>In the statistical analysis section:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. None of the results presented made use of chi square test. Kindly check and delete 2. Spearman's correlation does not measure impact, but correlations. The author should kindly revise the term “impact”, as it appears this is not an impact study <p>Kindly present a distinct discussion section and relate the specific results to empirical literature</p>	

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	The content of table 1 are all perceptions and must be classified as such	
Optional/General comments	Specific comments have been indicated in the reviewed manuscript for the author's pursual	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Author should indicate ethical clearance number and other ethical considerations used for the conduct of the study	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lawrencia Aggrey-Bluwey
Department, University & Country	University of Education Winneba, Ghana