

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82669
Title of the Manuscript:	BASAL CELL CARCINOMA: IN TERTIARY CARE CENTER, DEMOGRAPHIC DETAILS AND HISTOLOGICAL SUBTYPES AT PUMHS-W NAWABSHAH, PAKISTAN.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Kindly revise the conclusion part in the abstract as in the aim it is not mentioned that you are "comparing" the demographic data and site to histologic subtypes of BCC.</li> <li>2. In the introduction, there is no mention about the explanation on why you have chosen to study about prevalence of BCC in Asian population – kindly justify with prevalence data in your population.</li> <li>3. Mention the type of statistical analysis done in the methodology section. Only the software used is mentioned.</li> <li>4. Kindly mention whether the duration of study is between nov 2020-2021 or only cases that came between this period was included in the study.</li> <li>5. In the discussion part, no rational explanation was given for the occurrence of BCC In females at higher ration in this study.</li> <li>6. Kindly mention the possible explanation which could rationally fit in either from reference articles or literature as to why BCC is more common in the age group, sex and site in which you have observed more.</li> <li>7. Please revise the conclusion – it does not signify your aim</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In key words, kindly include "Asians" as it is the main population you have evaluated.</li> <li>2. It would be more apt if the introduction starts with BCC being the most common skin malignancy instead of the first 2 sentences. Generally for a study on demographic data, an introduction doesn't start with classification (especially histological classification).</li> <li>3. <b>The last paragraph in the introduction doesn't seem to be relevant to the aim of the study.</b></li> <li>4. <b>Kindly check the overall grammar for the tense of the sentence.</b></li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	If possible please mention the expected outcome of your study to the population and health awareness.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Preethy Chinnathambi
Department, University & Country	Mahatma Gandhi Post Graduate Institute of Dental Sciences, Pondicherry University, India