

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82605
Title of the Manuscript:	ROLE OF GASTROGRAFIN IN ADHESIVE INTESTINAL OBSTRUCTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Thanks for giving me chance to review the article. following are my observations and comments ; Although the paper does not add anything new to the literature, but It is a very interesting paper and carries a lot of practical significance.</p> <p>However there are lot grammatical mistakes as have been highlighted in the main manuscript. The author should get it reviewed by an English knowing person so as to rectify these mistakes.</p> <p>In the discussion section, references have not been cited at several places .for example ,Cox et al, reference not mentioned.Similarly reference should be mentioned in line no.17 of discussion as has been highlighted</p> <p>Some more recent studies have been carried out and be also cited at appropriate place such as Indian Journal of Applied research,2017;7(3) ;348-349, conducted by Ajaz et al</p> <p>Table 1 , should be reframed to make ot more clear for the reader.it may be divided into two tables , demographical features an management part sepaerately</p> <p>X-ray pictures may be included in the manuscript both before giving gatrograffin and after giving Gastrograffin to make it more interesting and attractive for the readers</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shams Ul Bari
Department, University & Country	Sher-I-Kashmir Institute Of Medical Sciences, India