

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82554
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Nutrient composition and radical scavenging activities of watermelon seed-based nutribar</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>OVERALL EVALUATION:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This article is acceptable provided that improvements will be made as proposed below:</li> </ul> <p><b>TITLE:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The title is suitable for publication</li> </ul> <p><b>KEYWORDS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Citrullus lantus</i>; Instead of using the word "<i>Citrullus lantus</i>", authors may add the word watermelon or replace the word <i>Citrullus lantus</i> with watermelon.</li> </ul> <p><b>WHOLE DISCUSSIONS:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- Author/s used the word nutrition bars and nutri bars interchangeably. Do these 2 terms refer to the same meaning? I would suggest author/s stick to one term only.</li> <li>2- I would suggest all tables and figures are placed in the main text and not at the end of the article.</li> <li>3- Check the spelling of nutribar and watermelon either 2 words (nutri bars/water melon) or one word (nutribars/watermelon). And author/s should use them consistently.</li> <li>4- There are a few sources or references that did not have the year published. For example:             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i- Peter-Ikechukwu AI et al</li> <li>ii- Tabiri et al</li> </ol> </li> </ol> <p><b>CONCLUSION:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- This section should be deeper. Particularly, author/s should extend considering and adding the following elements:             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i- A statement with a restating of the background of the paper giving that the paper aims to...</li> <li>ii- The main results should be highlighted.</li> <li>iii- The main limitations of the paper and maybe the main future research elements that need to be addressed.</li> </ol> </li> </ol> <p><b>GRAMMATICAL AND SPELLING ERRORS:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- Need English editing as some grammatical and spelling errors are found in places.</li> <li>2- Please also check the tenses used.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sazelin Arif</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Technology Management and Entrepreneurship (IPTK), Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), Malaysia</b>