

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82476
Title of the Manuscript:	Attitude about coenzyme Q10 supplements among cardiac patients
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>a) <u>Title:</u></p> <p>This title is easily understandable.</p> <p>b) <u>Abstract:</u></p> <p>The abstract is concise and briefly documented the findings of the research.</p> <p>c) <u>Introduction:</u></p> <p>The corresponding author has comprehensively mentioned the necessity of the research in this section. However, a little improvement is needed according to the reviewer's comment.</p> <p>d) <u>Materials and Methods:</u></p> <p>The corresponding author has elaborately described the methods used in the research. However, a little improvement is needed according to the reviewer's comment.</p> <p>e) <u>Results and Discussion:</u></p> <p>The corresponding author has discussed in details the results of the research in these two sections. However, a little improvement is needed according to the reviewer's comment.</p> <p>f) <u>Conclusion:</u></p> <p>In this part, the corresponding author has mentioned the scope for the further research on the increase in attitude of consuming CoEnzyme Q10 in ACS patients which is a thoughtful move.</p> <p>g) <u>Reference:</u></p> <p>Recently published articles were used as referencing along with prior citations. However, in the entire manuscript, in-text citation number 11 and 23 are missing.</p> <p>** Source of funding wasn't mentioned.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Grammatical errors should be corrected.2) Spelling errors should be corrected.3) Rephrasing should be done per reviewer's comment.	

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	Overall writing style is affable. The corresponding author has arranged the manuscript comprehensively.	
----------------------------------	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shafiul Kadir A K M
Department, University & Country	University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh